

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

KRYSTAL JOHNSON, et al.,  
Plaintiff(s),  
v.  
INTU CORPORATION,  
Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:18-cv-02361-MMD-NJK

**ORDER**

(Docket No. 69)

15 Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulation to extend the deadline for Defendant to  
16 respond to Plaintiff's motion to compel. Docket No. 69; *see also* Docket No. 66.

17 The parties submit that Defendant failed to meet the response deadline due to (1) its  
18 counsel's scheduling conflicts; and (2) Defendant overlooked the deadline. Docket No. 69 at 2.  
19 Neither of those reasons amounts to good cause or excusable neglect. As a **one-time** courtesy,  
20 however, the Court **GRANTS** the stipulation. Defendant must file its response to Plaintiff's  
21 motion to compel (Docket No. 66) no later than September 17, 2019. No further extensions will  
22 be granted.

23 The Court expects the parties to comply with its orders and all other applicable rules to be  
24 followed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 11, 2019

Nancy J. Koppe  
United States Magistrate Judge